



Submission Guidelines for State-Owned Archaeological Collections

These guidelines are offered by the Office of the State Archaeologist (OSAC) to strengthen the statewide curation network. They list standard best practices for collections submitted to Colorado repositories under the authority of a State permit. These guidelines apply to archaeological materials; for paleontological materials, please see Submission Guidelines for State-Owned Paleontological Collections. Please be aware that individual State repositories may have more stringent requirements that will supersede those listed below. Specific requirements that are more stringent than those listed below should be outlined in all curation agreements between the permittee and the repository. Additionally, all repositories have the right to refuse collections that are submitted to them.

Organization and Container Storage Standards

All archaeological collections submitted must reference the official State of Colorado Smithsonian Trinomial Site Number. Material collections should not be submitted to repositories until all artifact cleaning, stabilization or conservation, and inventorying, cataloging, and labeling have been completed. Unless the curation agreement between the permittee and repository specifies that materials may be submitted in another condition with cleaning, etc., to be completed by the repository at cost to the permittee. While specific guidelines are formulated by each repository, at a minimum each depositor should ensure the following best practices.

1. Material collections must be accompanied by all documenting records (held-in-trust collection catalog form, a copy of the official receipt for deposit form, field notes, site forms, photos, maps, reports, formal deeds of gift, conservation record, and other contracts or agreements preferably printed on acid-free archival paper). Photographic records (i.e., slides, negatives, prints) should be enclosed in acid-free polyethylene holders or sleeves. An explanation of the indexing method used to organize the boxed materials should also be provided. In addition to the secure hardcopies, documentation in digital format may also be submitted to the repository if they have the means to store these data, as well as an established policy for secure data archiving and migration. If the facility lacks these means then all the miscellaneous digital (non-required reporting) data should be submitted to OSAC.
2. All materials should be cleaned, preserved, and stabilized prior to submission using appropriate reversible, nondestructive techniques. Stabilization should only be performed to ensure the artifact's safety during transport and storage. Documentation should include a record of any applied techniques performed on the material being submitted. Justification and repository approval should be provided for any artifacts not cleaned in anticipation of future analysis, such as pollen washes.

3. Specimens needing ongoing conservation should be separated, documented, and a conservation plan submitted to the repository for approval prior to acceptance of these specimens. This plan should be drafted to inform the repository of the long-term conservation requirements of these specimens.
4. Exceptional specimens should be separated from the rest of the collection and noted. These include holotypes and exquisite specimens intended for further analysis.
5. Unless otherwise directed by the repository, all specimens or collections should be labeled individually in accordance with the cataloging and labeling systems of the repository. The Office of the State Archaeologist should be consulted to determine labeling standards if the repository has not adopted formal standards for cataloging and labeling.
6. Unless otherwise directed by the repository, all artifacts and specimens should be individually labeled using direct or indirect methods. All artifact labels should be archival and removable. The reversible B72 “sandwich” method with the State number, site number followed by a dash, and the catalog number (e.g. 5LR9999-1, 5LR9999-2). Labels should be hand-written as small as possible or printed on acid-free laser-printed labels, and placed away from important features on the artifact. If artifacts or specimens are too small to be numbered individually (<1 cm in maximum dimension) and/or for large groups of similar specimens from the same provenience (e.g., screened material in a screen bag), then they may be put together in labeled, appropriately sized acid-free containers.
7. Artifacts that are too fragile (e.g., leather or wood), lack good surface integrity (e.g., rusty metal or disintegrating bone), or where direct labeling methods would obscure diagnostic or important features of the artifact or specimen should be labeled with acid-free fabric or acid-free paper tags labeled using archival ink pens. These labels should be appropriately affixed to the artifacts.
8. All artifacts must be packaged in archival materials, such as clear 4 mil polyethylene bags, rather than paper bags. Fragile artifacts may be stored in archival boxes or vials with acid-free tissue or thin foam. Film canisters are not acceptable packaging and cotton, paper towels, or toilet paper should not be used to wrap fragile items.
9. All boxed containers housing bagged materials must be stable and sturdy, in acid-free boxes, and should fit the size/shape requirements of the collection. Standard-size archival boxes are typically no larger than one cubic foot and should weigh less than 30 lbs. to avoid damage to artifacts and/or packaging.
10. Larger containers, such as acid-free storage boxes, should be labeled with archival ink or appropriately affixed laser-printed archival labels on their exterior surfaces. Labels in or on large containers should provide the following information: site designation, project name and date, provenience data, analytical category, and the number of artifacts or specimens. A box-level inventory should be laser-printed on acid-free paper and affixed to the interior of the lid.
11. Following any artifact study, analytical categories (e.g., flakes, chipped stone tools, ground stone, etc. for lithic materials or elemental groupings for faunal remains) should be maintained but not disassembled from field provenience organization for housing the collection. This should be reflected in the cataloging of artifacts. For example, where a tool is identified at the end of the inventory process or where already cataloged faunal materials are split into more refined categories during a faunal analysis, assign the tool or new faunal category bag the next catalog number available, but keep it

bagged with the other bags from its same provenience (e.g., all bison ulnae found in TU 99, Feature 99 should be separated from all bison vertebrae found in TU 99, Feature 99. One catalog number should be assigned to the bison ulnae and a second, separate catalog number will be assigned to the bison vertebrae). It is acceptable if this causes non-sequential or out-of-sequence catalog numbers within a particular provenience.

12. A simple collection inventory should accompany each collection using the official simple collection inventory template provided by the society. This inventory must accurately reflect the quantity of the material. This list must be submitted in an electronic format to the repository prior to arrival and a physical copy must accompany the material. Upon acceptance of the material both the State Permittee and the repository official shall complete the receipt for deposit form and a copy must be submitted by the permittee to OSAC as part of their final reporting requirement. The deposit form must be accompanied by the final digital copy of the simple collection inventory. Please refer to our office's official receipt for deposit form and simple collection inventory documents.
13. Bulk samples or non-diagnostic redundant material (e.g. matrix, soil, burned rock) should only be collected and submitted to a repository as specified in the curation agreement between the permittee and repository; the quantity of such samples should likewise be specified in the curation agreement. Sediment samples should be processed as much as possible before submission, fully dried, and reduced to a minimally representative sample necessary for future analysis. Samples should be double bagged in 4 mil polyethylene bags with a labeled tag placed in the outer bag separated from the sample.
14. Permitted researchers who deliver collections not according to the guidelines outlined above, or more stringent guidelines as outlined in a curation agreement, may be subject to refusal to accept submission pending correction or corrective hourly labor rate fees plus the cost of supplies by the state-approved museum or curatorial repository as detailed in the curation agreement.

Ownership Documentation

Private collections deposited at a State-Approved repository must be accompanied by a signed Deed of Gift from the private landowner. The signed and dated statement must indicate the owner's name, legal address, and other contact information, and indicate that the collection being donated is unrestricted and irrevocable. Note that private landowners or entities must negotiate directly with each repository for these agreements unless either through contract or assignment a consultant assumes the role of legal agent for the private owner. If the repository is part of a subdivision of State government (City, County, local, district) and private ownership of that item(s) has been transferred to a government entity then the State holds a title interest in that specimen/artifact. Unless requested by the State-Approved repository, permitted researchers collecting from non-Federal public lands do not need to provide ownership documentation. However, it is the responsibility of the permitted researcher depositing collections to ensure the repository of the accurate ownership status of all specimens or artifacts deposited for curation.